Appln. No. 09/259,619

Amdt. Dated November 6, 2003

Reply to Office Action dated October 14, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for her time on a telephone interview conducted on October 8, 2003 in which the inventor Timothy Labadie was present to discuss details of the invention as well as representatives from the CrossCheck corporation who could offer information about the commercial success of this product. I understand that since this time, they have forwarded the following links to a demonstration site and their company.

http://www.cross-check.com/Demos/CBN(Still).swf
http://dev.cross-check.com/CrossCheckNew/CrossCheck/

While no definitive conclusion with respect to the claims was reached during this interview, the Examiner made a number of helpful comments. I have accordingly submitted amended claims providing for an improved preamble indicating this is an Internet check payment computer system, clarifying the independence of the various computers that are used in the system, providing further definition to the meaning of having products for sale, clearly indicating that the third party bank is independent from the merchant, and that the system operates without the ability to check account balances with the payor bank, an important distinction over the Rowney reference. In addition, these claims can be further distinguished from the Rowney reference based on the portability of the system, which allows for the creation and subsequent printing of a check at virtually any location, the fact that the consumer is anonymous until certain indicia of information is entered into the system and lastly, both the Rowney and Pollin references were contemplated to "improve access to mail order goods and services for those who do not have a credit card", in contrast to this invention.

In light of these amendments and remarks, it is believed that claims 1 through 4, and 8 through 16 are now in condition for allowance and allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicant wants to move the prosecution of this case forward as expeditiously as possible given the long prosecution and the fact that a commercial product is currently in use with unresolved patent rights. The Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned if there are additional claim amendments believed to put this case into allowance or if the

Appln. No. 09/259,619

Amdt. Dated November 6, 2003

Reply to Office Action dated October 14, 2003

affidavit of commercial success filed February 8, 2002 should be amended to include recent commercial success figures discussed briefly in the meeting or otherwise modified.

We look forward to an opportunity to schedule another interview if there are any remaining or new issues.

Respectfully submitted,

TIMOTHY BABADIE

Keith M. Baxter Reg. No. 31,233

Attorney for Applicant

Quarles & Brady LLP

411 E. Wisconsin Avenue

Milwaukee WI 53202-4497

(414) 277-5719